



Eco-Smart, Inc.

(888)329-2705 info@eco-smart.com www.eco-smart.com

Effects of the Eco-Flector Solar Screen on the Thermal Properties of Windows

Final Report

Prepared for America's Energy Solution, Arlington, Texas

Prepared by, Keith Sylvester, Ph.D., Associate AIA, TAMU 3137

Department of Construction Science, Texas A&M University

College Station Texas, 77840

June 2002

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
 - 1.1 Overview
 - 1.2 The Solar Screen Material
- 2 Methods
 - 2.1 Overview
 - 2.2 Solar Transmittance of the Solar Screen Material
- 3 Results
 - 3.1 Overview
 - 3.2 Glazing and Window Systems Analysis
- 4 Conclusions
- 5 References
- 6 Appendix
 - 6.1 Yellot Solar Energy Laboratory Report
 - 6.2 Terminology
 - 6.3 Window Output Data
 - 6.4 Glazing Output Data.

List of Figures

- 1. Window Assessment Model
- 2. Solar Screen Cutting Method
- 3. Window Configuration

List of Tables

- 1. Solar Screen Thermal Properties
- 2. Solar Screen Thermal Properties Used in the Simulation
- 3. Window Data Used Within Simulation Program
- 4. Analysis of Glazing Systems for Summer Conditions
- 5. Analysis of Glazing Systems for Winter Conditions
- 6. Analysis of Window Systems for Summer Condition
- 7. Analysis of Window Systems for Winter Condition
- 8. Effects of Solar Screen for Summer Conditions on Glazing
- 9. Effects of Solar Screen for Winter Conditions on Glazing
- 10. Effect of Solar Screen for Summer Conditions on Window
- 11. Effect of Solar Screen for Winter Conditions on Window

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

Today, many energy-saving shading options exist with a uniform means to determine their solar optical properties and their affects on the thermal properties of windows. Thus, with no recommended standards or procedures when making spectroradiometric measurements (NFRC 2002), this study uses industry accepted fenestration software to determine the thermal and optical effects of solar screen material on windows.

In this study, the thermal calculations for the window are made using the recommended Window 4.1 program (Finlayson et al. 1994). The thermal properties of the solar screen material were measured at the Yellott Solar Energy Laboratory under the direction of John Elliot Engineering Associates, Inc. (1982). See Appendix. In addition, the glass optical properties were determined using the spectral database for Varicon glass products. It is important to note that field measurements were not within the scope of this study.

1.2 The Solar Screen Material

The solar screen material is a three-layer material consisting of a transparent polyester film, a perforated vinyl screen, and a perforated aluminum film. It is designed to reflect heat outward during the summer months and to conduct heat inward during the winter months. However, this study makes no recommendations or assertions to the configuration of the solar screen material. Accordingly, the solar screen thermal properties are shown in Table 1 .

Table 1 . Solar Screen Thermal Properties

	Transmittance ¹	Reflectance ¹	Absorbance ¹	Emittance
Aluminized Surface	0.28	0.65	0.07	0.69
Black Surface	0.30	0.19	0.51	0.86

¹ The value was determined with the indicated surface facing the sun.

Source: John Elliot Engineering Associates, Inc. (1982)

In the case of the transparent polyester film, no values were determined. In this study, the polyester film is presumed to have no significant effect on the solar screen values. However, additional testing of the transparent polyester film is required.

2 Methods

2.1 Overview

This study developed a prototype, single glazed window using Window 4.1. The window was then modified by adding a second layer for the solar screen material. Overall the study varied the winter and summer environmental conditions and the orientation of the solar screen. Four window conditions were studied using the optical data for 1/8 inch single pane glass manufactured by Varicon and

compared to the base case for winter and summer conditions defined within the environmental conditions library. In this study ASHRAE winter conditions (for both U-VALUE and SOLAR subsets) and ASHRAE summer conditions (for both U-VALUE and SOLAR subsets) were used.

A1 A2
 B1 y11 y12
 B2 Y21 Y22

Figure 1 . Window Assessment Model

Figure 1 is the model used to compare the window conditions. “A” represents the orientation of the solar screen (aluminized facing outward and black facing outward). “B” represents the environmental conditions used to develop the thermal properties of the window, which were summer and winter. To analyze the data, the U-value, the shading coefficient, the solar heat gain coefficient, and the visible transmittance of the four conditions were compared.




Figure 2 . Solar Screen Cutting Method.

2.2 Solar Transmittance of the Solar Screen Material

After review of the laboratory result discussed earlier in this report, transmittance of the solar screen material was reexamined. As a result, the transmittance values for the solar screen was determined using equations for circular cutting methods defined by Ishikawa (1994) and verified by Sylvester and Haberl (2000) (Table 2). The transmittance, τ , of the solar screen is determined by a defined relationship between the area of each unit hole, S , and the width of photovoltaic area between each hole, W , yielding,

$$\tau = \frac{S}{\left(2\sqrt{\frac{S}{\pi}} + W\right)^2}$$

(2 . 1)for the circular laser cutting method. It is assumed that the solar screen material is designed to block all light equally, visible and infrared. Thus, the solar screen was given no spectral selectivity. The values used for the solar screen properties are shown in Table 2 . Please note that the calculated solar transmittance was 44% was used to adjust the original laboratory transmittance data. A transmittance of 35% was used because the sum of the transmittance (calculated) and reflectance (measured) cannot be greater that 100%.

Table 2 . Solar Screen Thermal Properties Used in the Simulation

	Transmittance1	Reflectance2	Absorbance2	Emittance
Aluminized Surface	0.35	0.65	0.07	0.69
Black Surface	0.35	0.19	0.51	0.86

1 This value was determined using the maximum allowable transmittance, which is less than are equal to the calculated value.

2 The value was determined with the indicated surface facing the sun.

3 Results

3.1 Overview

As discussed earlier, three conditions were simulated and compared. The base case used the single glazed system with no solar screen. For the four alternative conditions, the solar screen was added. The aluminized and black surfaces were analyzed for summer and winter conditions when facing outward.




Figure 3 . Window Configuration

Base on installation requirement of the Eco-Flector solar screen, air was used as the gas within a two-inch gap between the two surfaces, which it is not a sealed space. Thus, some infiltration will occur, but it can only be quantified within an energy simulation of a select building. Overall, the simulated window is 48 inches in width and 72 inches in height and contained no breaks in the framing system (Figure 3). The glazing and solar screen values used in the simulation are shown in Table 3.

Table 3 . Window Data Used Within Simulation Program

	Thick	Tsol1	Rsol		Tvis2	Rvis		Tir	Emis		Keff
			1	2		1	2		1	2	
Aluminized3	0.0312	0.35	0.650	0.190	0.35	0.650	0.190	0.000	0.690	0.860	0.07
Black3	0.0312	0.35	0.190	0.650	0.35	0.190	0.650	0.000	0.860	0.690	0.51
1/8 glazing	0.120	0.834	0.075	0.075	0.899	0.082	0.082	0.000	0.840	0.840	0.520

1 This value was determined using the maximum allowable transmittance, which is less than are equal to the calculated value.

2 This value was not measured in the laboratory experiment. Thus, the study assumes it to be equal to the total solar.

3 The indicated surface faces outward for the listed values.

3.2 Glazing and Window Systems Analysis

The results show that the glazing performs best with the aluminized surface facing outward during the summer for all thermal properties (Table 4). In the case of the winter condition, the glazing performs better with the black surface facing outward. However, the thermal performance of the window shows no significant change for the orientation of the window (Table 5).

Table 4 . Analysis of Glazing Systems for Summer Conditions

Window Condition	Keff (Btu/h-ft-F)	Width (in.)	Uc (Btu/h-ft-F)	SCc	SHGCc	VTc
Base	-	0.120	1.03	1.00	0.86	0.90
Black	-	2.151	0.54	0.45	0.39	0.33
Aluminized	-	2.151	0.49	0.37	0.32	0.32

Table 5 . Analysis of Glazing Systems for Winter Conditions

Window Condition	Keff (Btu/h-ft-F)	Width (in.)	Uc (Btu/h-ft-F)	SCc	SHGCc	VTc
Base	-	0.120	1.11	-	-	0.90
Black	0.1544	2.151	0.50	-	-	0.33
Aluminized	0.1697	2.151	0.51	-	-	0.32

To further analyze the glazing, a frame was added to factor a typical frame effect. This condition will now be referred to as the window system (Figure 4).

Figure 4 . Frame Values used in the Simulation

Type	Source	U-Value	Edge Corr1	Width	Abs
Aluminum with no breaks	ASH/LBL	1.9	1	2.250	0.90

1 The fifth correlation is recommend when modeling single glazing (Arasteh, 1989). More research of these correlations is required.

As expected, the frame effect negatively affected the performance of the window system. Likewise, as with the glazing, the results show that the window system performs best with the aluminized surface facing outward during the summer (Table 6). In the case of the winter condition, the window system performs better with the black surface facing outward. However, the window’s performance shows no significant change for the orientation of the window (Table 7).

Table 6 . Analysis of Window Systems for Summer Condition

Window Condition	U (Btu/h-ft ² -F)	SC	SHGC	VT
Base	1.16	0.95	0.82	0.76
Black	0.76	0.49	0.42	0.28
Aluminized	0.72	0.42	0.36	0.27

Table 7 . Analysis of Window Systems for Winter Condition

Window Condition	U (Btu/h-ft ² -F)	SC	SHGC	VT
Base	1.23	-	-	0.76
Black	0.72	-	-	0.28
Aluminized	0.74	-	-	0.27

4 Conclusions

As noted earlier the scope of this study included simulation testing only base on previously measured thermal properties of the Eco-Flector solar screen and existing optical data for the glazing.

As seen in the results, the data shows that the heat gain attributed to the windows of a building using the Eco-Flector solar glazing will be significantly reduced. Specifically, the U-value of the glazing improved by an average of 54% for the winter condition and 50% for the summer condition (Table 8 ; Table 9). It is also noted that the system performs best with the aluminized system facing outward during the summer and with the black surface facing outward during the winter. When factoring framing, the U-value of the window system improved by an average of 41% for the winter condition and 36% for the summer condition (Table 10 ; Table 11).

Table 8 . Effects of Solar Screen for Summer Conditions on Glazing

Window Condition	Uc(Btu/h-ft ² -F)	SCc	SHGCc	VTc
Base	-	-	-	-
Black	47.6%	55.0%	54.7%	63.3%
Aluminized	52.4%	63.0%	62.8%	64.4%

Table 9 . Effects of Solar Screen for Winter Conditions on Glazing

Window Condition	Uc (Btu/h-ft ² -F)	SCc	SHGCc	VTc
Base	-	-	-	-
Black	55.0%	-	-	63.3%
Aluminized	54.1%	-	-	64.4%

Like the glazing only condition, the window system performs best with the aluminized system facing outward during the summer and with the black surface facing outward during the winter. In addition, the framing around the window has a significant effect on the window's overall performance and requires a more detailed analysis and accurate framing data to improve the predictive capability of the simulation for a select building.

Table 10 . Effect of Solar Screen for Summer Conditions on Window

Window Condition	U (Btu/h-ft ² -F)	SC	SHGC	VT
Base	-	-	-	-
Black	34.5%	48.4%	48.8%	63.2%
Aluminized	37.9%	55.8%	56.1%	64.5%

Table 11 . Effect of Solar Screen for Winter Conditions on Window

Window Condition	U (Btu/h-ft ² -F)	SC	SHGC	VT
Base	-	-	-	-
Black	41.5%	-	-	63.2%
Aluminized	39.8%	-	-	64.5%

In review of these findings, the researcher recommends three levels of analysis: 1) glazing specific window analysis, 2) glazing and framing specific window analysis, and 3) a thermal energy simulation of the selected building which uses specific glazing and framing data to determine an overall effect of the window glazing on the energy consumption of the building. A thermal simulation of a select building would factor the building's materials, configuration, and mechanical systems.

5 References

- Arasteh, D., 1989. An Analysis of Edge Heat Transfer in Residential Windows. Presented at the ASHRAE/DOE/BTECC/CIBSE Conference on Thermal Performance of the Exterior Envelopes of Buildings IV, Orlando, Florida, December 4-7, 1989.
- Sylvester, K. and J. Haberl. 2000. Semi Transparent PV Glazing: Development of Window Properties for Input Within the DOE-2 Window Library. Solar 2000 Conference Proceedings. American Solar Energy Society. Madison, Wisconsin (June) (CD-ROM).
- NFRC, 2002. NFRC 300: Standard Test Method for Determining the Solar and Infrared Optical Properties of Glazing materials and Fading Resistance Systems. National Fenestration Rating Council.
- Yellot, J. and D. Talt, 1982. Report No. 8219-1. John Yellott Engineering Associates, Inc. Phoenix, AR.
- E. Finlayson, D. Arasteh, C. Huizenga, M. Rubin, S. Reilly (1993). Window 4.0: Documentation of Calculation Procedures. LBL-33943, Windows and Daylighting Group, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720.
- Ishikawa, N. 1994. Building Integrated PV Mounting Technologies in Japan, Proceeding of the Third International Workshop on Photovoltaics in Buildings. Cambridge, MA. (September)

6 Appendix

6.1 *Yellot Solar Energy Laboratory Report*

6.2 *Terminology*

Abs: frame absorptance for solar radiation at normal incidence.

Emis: infrared emittance of the glazing layer (exterior facing surface is 1, and interior oriented surface is 2).

Keff: a conductivity or effective conductivity of a window component (frame, divider, glass, gap)

Rsol: solar reflectance of the glazing layer (exterior-facing surface is 1, and interior-facing surface is 2).

Rvis: visible reflectance (exterior-facing surface is 1, and interior-facing surface is 2).

Rb: the back (interior) surface reflectance of a glazing system

Rf: the front (exterior) surface reflectance of a glazing system

SC: the shading coefficient for the total window system representing the ratio of the solar heat gain through the window system relative to that through 3 mm (1/8") clear glass at normal incidence.

SCc: the shading coefficient for the glazing system (center-of-glass).

SHGC: the solar heat gain coefficient of the total window system representing the solar heat gain through the window system relative to the incident solar radiation.

SHGCc: the solar heat gain coefficient for the glazing system (center-of-glass) only.

Source: source of information for a frame or divider element.

Thick: glass thickness (SI:mm, IP:in).

Tir: thermal infrared transmittance of a glazing layer.

Tsol: solar transmittance of the glazing layer.

Tvis: visible transmittance of the glazing layer.

U-value: the total heat transfer coefficient for the window system (SI:W/m²-°C, IP:Btu/h-ft²-°F).

Uc: the U-value for the glazing system (center-of-glass) only (SI:W/m²-°C, IP:Btu/h-ft²-°F).

VT: the total window system's visible transmittance at normal incidence.

Vtc: visible transmittance of the glazing system (center-of-glass) only.

6.3 Window Output Data

1.1.1 Black Surface Facing Outward for the Summer Condition

WINDOW 4.1 Report

Page 1

06/07/02 12:36:36

ID:10

FrID: 1

Name:Black Summer

Mode:Design

EnvCond:3

Type:Picture

FrID: 1

Tilt: 90

Size:Fixed BB

Width: 48.00"

Height: 72.00"

Area: 24.00 ft2

GlzSysID: 15

Div ID: 0

FrID: 1 U-value: 0.757 Btu/h-ft2-F SC: 0.486 SHGC: 0.418 Vt: 0.282

Data for Glazing Systems COG

ID	Name	Area# ft2	Lay	Tilt	Uc Btu/h-ft2	SCc	SHGCc	Vtc	RHG
15	Black Summer	16.711	2	90	0.538	0.45	0.39	0.33	98

Glass and Gas Data for Glazing System '15 Black Summer'

ID	Name	D(in)	Tsol		Rsol		Tvis		Rvis		Tir	Emis		Keff
			1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2				

Outside

1	Infl-Winter	0.031	.350	.190	.650	.350	.190	.650	.000	.860	.690	.510
1	Air	2.000				.069						
102	CLEAR_3.DAT	0.120	.834	.075	.075	.899	.082	.082	.000	.840	.840	.520

Inside

Frame Data

Frame Edge

Location	ID	Name	Source	Area ft2	Area ft2	Uframe Btu/h-ft2-F	Uedge
Left Jamb	1	Al no break	ASH/LBL	1.090	1.129	1.9001	0.6317
Header	1	Al no break	ASH/LBL	0.715	0.712	1.9001	0.6317
Right Jamb	1	Al no break	ASH/LBL	1.090	1.129	1.9001	0.6317
Sill	1	Al no break	ASH/LBL	0.715	0.712	1.9001	0.6317

Gas Data

ID	Name	Cond	dCond	Visc	dVisc	Dens	dDens	Pran	dPran
1	Air	.0139	2.4395	0.0361	0.1161	0.0805	-0.0002	.7200	.00100

Environmental Conditions: 3 ASHRAE-SUMMR

	Tout (F)	Tin(F)	WndSpd (mph)	Wnd Dir	Solar(Btu/h-ft2)	Tsky(F)	Esky
Uvalue	89.0	75.0	7.50	Windward	248.2	89.0	1.00
Solar	89.0	75.0	7.50	Windward	248.2	89.0	1.00

Frame Library Data

ID	Name	Source	Frame	Edge	Corr	Width	Uc	(PFD)	Abs
1	Al no break	ASH/LBL	1.90	N/A	1	var	N/A	2.250	0.90

Optical Properties for Glazing System '15 Black Summer'

Angle 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 Hemis

Vtc : 0.332

Rf : 0.201

Rb : 0.637

Tsol : 0.307

Rf : 0.200

Rb : 0.550

Abs 1: 0.460

Abs 2: 0.034

Abs 3:

Abs 4:

Abs 5:

Abs 6:

SHGCc: 0.390

SCc: 0.45 Color Properties DomWL Purity L* a* b*

Tdw: N/A Transmittance um %

Tuv: N/A Reflectance um %

Temperature Distribution (degrees F) for '15 Black Summer'

Condensation

Env. Conditions: 3 ASHRAE-SUMMR

	U-value	RH	Solar
Outside Air	89.0		89.0
Outer Surface	112.6	N/A	112.6
Layer 1 Center	112.9		112.9
Inner Surface	112.8		112.8
Outer Surface	94.5		94.5
Layer 2 Center	94.3		94.3
Inner Surface	94.1	N/A	94.1
Inside Air	75.0		75.0

1.1.2 Black Surface Facing Outward for the Winter Condition

WINDOW 4.1 Report

Page 1

06/07/02 12:36:46

ID:11

FrID: 1

Name:Black Winter

Mode:Design

EnvCond:2

Type:Picture

FrID: 1


Tilt: 90

Size:Fixed BB

Width: 48.00"

Height: 72.00"

Area: 24.00 ft2



U-value: 0.723 Btu/h-ft2-F SC: N/A SHGC: N/A Vt: 0.282

Data for Glazing Systems COG

ID	Name	Area# ft2	Lay	Tilt	Uc Btu/h-ft2	SCc	SHGCc	Vtc	RHG
13	Black Winter	16.711	2	90	0.496	N/A	N/A	0.33	N/A

Glass and Gas Data for Glazing System '15 Black Winter'

ID	Name	D(in)	Tsol		Rsol		Tvis		Rvis		Tir	Emis		Keff
			1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2				
					1	2			1	2		1	2	

Outside

1	Infl-Winter	0.031	.350	.190	.650	.350	.190	.650	.000	.860	.690	.510
1	Air	2.000				.154						
102	CLEAR_3.DAT	0.120	.834	.075	.075	.899	.082	.082	.000	.840	.840	.520

Inside

Frame Data

Frame Edge

Location	ID	Name	Source	Area ft2	Area ft2	Uframe Btu/h-ft2-F	Uedge
Left Jamb	1	Al no break	ASH/LBL	1.090	1.129	1.9001	0.5980
Header	1	Al no break	ASH/LBL	0.715	0.712	1.9001	0.5980
Right Jamb	1	Al no break	ASH/LBL	1.090	1.129	1.9001	0.5980
Sill	1	Al no break	ASH/LBL	0.715	0.712	1.9001	0.5980

WINDOW 4.1 Report

Page 2

06/07/02 12:36:46

Gas Data

ID	Name	Cond	dCond	Visc	dVisc	Dens	dDens	Pran	dPran
1	Air	.0139	2.4395	0.0361	0.1161	0.0805	-0.0002	.7200	.00100

Environmental Conditions: 3 ASHRAE-SUMMR

	Tout (F)	Tin(F)	WndSpd (mph)	Wnd Dir	Solar(Btu/h-ft2)	Tsky(F)	Esky
Uvalue	-0.0	70.0	15.00	Windward	0.0	-0.0	1.00
Solar	-0.0	70.0	15.00	Windward	0.0	-0.0	1.00

Frame Library Data

ID	Name	Source	Frame	Edge	Corr	Width	Uc	(PFD)	Abs
1	Al no break	ASH/LBL	1.90	N/A	1	var	N/A	2.250	0.90

No Dividers for this Glazing System

WINDOW 4.1 Report

Page 3

06/07/02 12:36:46

Optical Properties for Glazing System '13 Black Winter'

Angle 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 Hemis

Vtc : 0.332

Rf : 0.201

Rb : 0.637

Tsol : 0.307

Rf : 0.200

Rb : 0.550

Abs 1: 0.460

Abs 2: 0.034

Abs 3:

Abs 4:

Abs 5:

Abs 6:

SHGCc: N/A

SCc: N/A Color Properties DomWL Purity L* a* b*

Tdw: N/A Transmittance um %

Tuv: N/A Reflectance um %

Temperature Distribution (degrees F) for '13 Black Winter'

Env. Conditions: 2 ASHRAE-WINTR Condensation

	U-value	RH	Solar
Outside Air	-0.0		-0.0
Outer Surface	6.8	N/A	6.8
Layer 1 Center	6.9		6.9
Inner Surface	7.0		7.0
Outer Surface	44.5		44.5
Layer 2 Center	44.8		44.8

DISCLAIMER: This is a copy of an encoded copy of the original report. The first copy is incomplete in some areas and is indecipherable in others due to poor tabs and tables when the first copy was encoded. Every attempt has been made to present as exact a facsimile as possible. Information presented should be used as a reference and not as certified data.